

**REMARKS**

**New Claims**

By this Amendment, Applicant has added new claims 8-11 to this application. It is believed that the new claims specifically set forth each element of Applicant's invention in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

**Response to Election/Restriction Requirement**

The Examiner has required Applicant to elect one of the following species:

Species I. shown in Figs. 7A, 7B, and 9; or  
Species II. shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

Applicant hereby elects Species I, depicted in Figs. 7A, 7B, and 9, and believes that claims 1-11 are readable thereon. The Examiner stated that claims 1 and 8 are generic to each of the species. However, it is believed that the Examiner intended to state that claims 1 and 5 are generic. Applicant reserves the right to submit any Divisional patent applications directed toward the non-selected invention.

**Summary**

An early action on the merits of this application is respectfully requested. Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 29, 2005

By:

  
Bruce H. Troxell  
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC  
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404  
Falls Church, Virginia 22041  
Telephone: (703) 575-2711  
Telefax: (703) 575-2707